

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/636,115	08/10/2000	Adrian Grah	1400.4100276	6371
25697 7590 03/08/2007 ROSS D. SNYDER & ASSOCIATES, INC. PO BOX 164075			EXAMINER	
			CAO, CHUN	
AUSTIN, TX	/8/16-40/5		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2115	
			MAN BATT	DELIVERY MODE
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/08/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application Number	Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination		
	09/636,115	GRAH ET AL.		
	Chun Cao	Art Unit		
Document Code - AP.PRE.DEC				

Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

} (888) (188)	
This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for R	Review filed <u>9/11/06</u> .
 Improper Request – The Request is improper reason(s): 	and a conference will not be held for the following
☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed cond ☐ The request does not include reasons why a ☐ A proposed amendment is included with the ☐ Other:	review is appropriate.
The time period for filing a response continues to run the mail date of the last Office communication, if no I	from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains under appeal because is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance w brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this c running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, which	erferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal decision, or the balance of the two-month time period shever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the dupon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date
The panel has determined the status of the Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	claim(s) is as follows:
3. Allowable application – A conference has been Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits applicant at this time.	
4. ⊠ Reopen Prosecution – A conference has bee action will be mailed. No further action is required by	
All participants:	
(1) <u>Chun Cao</u> .	(3)Lynne H Browne Appeal Specialist, TQAS
(2) <u>Tommy Lee</u> .	(4) Technology Center 2100